

# CHICAGO DAILY TRIBUNE.

RAY, MEDILL & CO., PUBLISHERS.

VOL. X.

THE CHICAGO DAILY TRIBUNE,

PUBLISHED DAILY, AT EIGHT DOLLARS PER YEAR.

BY RAY, MEDILL & CO.

OFFICE IN THE TRIBUNE BUILDINGS, 53 CLARK STREET.

CHICAGO, MONDAY MORNING, APRIL 20, 1857.

TERMS, EIGHT DOLLARS PER YEAR.

NO. 267.

DAILY TRIBUNE.

MONDAY MORNING.—APRIL 20, 1857.

THE MORMON NUISANCE.

A REMINISCENCE OF UTAH.

CHICAGO, April 15, 1857.

Mr. CHAS.—I was glad to see in this number your article on the Mormon Nuisance. The Chief Justice of Utah, however glad he may be, that the head is calling on the government about the amount of grain now on hand, in principal receiving and shipping points to the West. Grain dealers both East and West would well examine the statement closely. They may serve him thereon, which may be of benefit in shaping their transactions.

Opening of a New Route to the Mississippi.

The citizens of Milwaukee are rejoicing over the opening of the Prairie du Chien Canal, under the direction of Mr. C. H. Smith, the engineer, and his crew, who have labored hard to bring it into existence. The new route will be a direct one from Milwaukee to the Mississippi. If that were a sufficient reason for public rejoicing, we should propose that Chicago might enjoy some effort in that direction, because, of all other places, it is most concerned in this great work.

New Transportation Company.

We learn from the Buffalo Express that a new Transportation company has recently been formed to compete with the existing lines of stage coaches and express companies. The name of the company is the "Great Western Transportation Company." The proprietors are Messrs. CHARLES BRADDOCK & Co., E. W. Ward, of Detroit, J. MAXWELL, Buffalo, and A. T. STANZER, & Co., of Chicago. The eight propellers forming the line are the Montgomery, Globe, Adriatic, Ontonagon, Oregon, Michigan, Wisconsin, and Pacific. Two lines will be driven between Detroit and Buffalo, and the balance between Chicago and Buffalo.

International Bridge.

The New York Ledger reports the bill authorizing the construction of a bridge across the river at Buffalo.

The Bill for the International Bridge passed, notwithstanding the strenuous opposition of the New England states, and it is now expected to be affected by an amendment which would draw the Canadian line to our side of the river. The Canadian line, however, has been so far advanced that it is difficult to say what course it would take against the interests of the United States.

Great Fire in Baltimore.

A very destructive fire occurred in Baltimore early yesterday morning, and the loss of life and property is great.

The wholesale grain establishment of H. HOWARD & Co. on Charles street, whence it was reported that the fire originated, was entirely destroyed.

JOSEPH P. CLARKSON, Esq., No. 182 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, Joseph P. Clarkson, Esq., No. 183 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were among the proprietors of the firm.

JOHN B. WATSON, Esq., No. 184 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, John B. Watson, Esq., No. 185 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were also among the proprietors.

DR. PHILLIPS & KIRBY, No. 186 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, Dr. Phillips Kirby, Esq., No. 187 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were also among the proprietors.

DR. POLK & KIRBY, No. 188 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, Dr. Polk Kirby, Esq., No. 189 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were also among the proprietors.

DR. H. G. KIRBY, No. 190 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, Dr. H. G. Kirby, Esq., No. 191 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were also among the proprietors.

DR. J. H. KIRBY, No. 192 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, Dr. J. H. Kirby, Esq., No. 193 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were also among the proprietors.

DR. J. H. KIRBY, No. 194 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, Dr. J. H. Kirby, Esq., No. 195 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were also among the proprietors.

DR. J. H. KIRBY, No. 196 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, Dr. J. H. Kirby, Esq., No. 197 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were also among the proprietors.

DR. J. H. KIRBY, No. 198 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, Dr. J. H. Kirby, Esq., No. 199 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were also among the proprietors.

DR. J. H. KIRBY, No. 200 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, Dr. J. H. Kirby, Esq., No. 201 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were also among the proprietors.

DR. J. H. KIRBY, No. 202 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), and his son, Dr. J. H. Kirby, Esq., No. 203 State street, (Montgomery, Orange, and Hudson counties), were also among the proprietors.

The以上所列的公司都是在蒙哥马利、奥兰治和哈德逊县经营的，而且他们的名字都以“Kirby”结尾。这些公司都是由他们的父亲和儿子共同拥有的。

火灾造成巨大的损失。

In the Missouri Demos, that are now confined in the jail at St. Louis, many men charged with murder. There are committed for trial, and those for trial against their sentence, death. The immigrants made of Free State men to Kansas, directly caused a part of the Border Ruffians of Plate County to change their quarters.

Over 3000 Ruffians.

Knox county, Wisconsin, gave a surprising meeting against the Fugitive Slave Law and the Dred Scott decision. The vote was:—Whites, Anti-Fugitive Law candidate, 3,707; Colson, Fugitive Law Border Ruffian, 1,984; majority for freedom, 4,644. Rock Island gave a well known. Its enlightened citizens have given their political creed upon the rock of freedom, and Justice, and the gates of hell shall not prevail against them.

Republican Party in Lyons.

The Republicans of Lyons, Iowa, elected E. Hernandez, formerly of this city. Mayor of that flourishing town, at 27, majority. His opponent was a very popular Democrat. But Dred Scott's ingenuity was too strong a dose for the Republicans to swallow. Lyons, therefore, has been a stronghold of pro-slavery party.

The Fusionists.

It is said by the telegraphic correspondents of the New York papers that the Cabinet has determined to send a naval force to Panama without delay, with instructions to commandos to land upon the immediate settlements of the isthmus. It is also reported that they are en route to the new Administration to inaugurate its tenure by another Granger victory. In that terrible fight Commandant Hollins killed a monkey; possibly Mr. Buchanan's representative may achieve the death of a nigger?

Paid Fire Department.

An exchange says that the paid Fire Department of Cincinnati—employing steam fireengines—proves to be not only efficient but economical. The cost of maintaining it was destroyed by fire in Cincinnati last year did not exceed \$175,000, and the whole cost of the department during the year was only about \$100,000—in which included a large item of "incident expense" for the support of several hundred engine-women, who were not even retained.

The other big difference compared with Yonkers has been particularly favorable upon insurance companies.

Post-office Arrangements.

We are glad to see Mr. Flaxen, the new Postmaster, is disposed to do well founded complaints of the city press. A few days ago we called his attention to the fact that the mail matter coming over the St. Louis-Calgary road was from twenty-four to forty-five cents per pound, while the same weight sent by mail to the city was only 15 cents. He has since written to the Post Office, and we trust will receive a reply soon.

Trinity Church in New York.

We have no feeling for either one side or the other in the dispute going on in the New York Legislature in relation to Trinity Church incorporation. We have all the facts, and are inclined to believe that the City Government, in communion of the Church of England, and granted certain property to the corporation.

The "Review" in the Chamberlain's office, the Rev. Mr. Lovell, who is in constant contact with his friends, has written to us that he has not more than 1 cent, but call it that, for sake of the estimate, and we have the following:

Interest on the balance, \$10,000,000, 5% per annum, \$500,000.

From this should be deducted the trifling sum received by the church, which may be offset by the payment of the rent of stalls to the corporation.

Interest on the balance, \$10,000,000, 5% per annum, \$500,000.

This sum should be deducted the trifling amount which the church had previously paid, if corroborated by the owner of the def-

endant, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,000, which is held in trust, is to be deducted, unless the plaintiff shall agree to pay him the expenses of his suit, and to make up the deficiency.

That the sum of \$500,00



